Approved For Release	0000110110	014 DDDDDD010000040	~~~~~~~~~~
A norowood Lor Dologeo	· H M M () 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7 1 A DI 11 2 2 3 11 11 A GO A A 11	1 11 1121 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 12 - 41

4 Julie 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR :	

SUBJECT

Random Thoughts on Coordination

of Collection Disciplines

l. Pursuant to last Friday's Staff meeting discussion, and I have discussed the problem and come up with a few thoughts.

25X1

25X1

25X1

2. It has been suggested in several forums (including discourse at the USIB Meeting of 30 May) that there be a mechanism under the USIB to coordinate the applicability of the various collection systems to a particular target. The question has been raised as to the best means of acquiring data; i.e. from HUMINT, SIGINT or Photography. How does the community determine which system is best suited for collecting specific information? In any particular situation a decision is needed as to whether they are totally independent of one another, redundant or mutually exclusive.

- 3. By the nature of the systems mentioned much information is unique to the specific discipline. On the other hand, under tight budgets, tasking of more than one discipline may not be cost effective. Other than the moribund CCPC no mechanism exists to sort the pieces. Even during its active days the CCPC approach tended to be problem oriented and narrow in scope even though valuable in specific cases.
- 4. One could argue that the KIQ/KEP innovation will provide the answers to this question. On the other hand, the KIQs are also narrow in their application and serve mainly to highlight those program managers who believe their resources can be applied to the satisfaction of the stated question or deficiency. But who determines which program manager should proceed in collecting and reporting

CONFIDENTIAL

EX-2, IMPDET

Approved For Release 2006/10/19: CIA-RDP79M00098A000300020033-1

on the deficiency? The NIO structure currently is not geared to such technical determinations. The committees of USIB germane to this problem deal only in their own disciplines. While the committee system provides for inter-Committee and inter-Agency coordination it does not, for many reasons, work a good share of the time.

- 5. Currently, the SIGINT Committee is engaged in developing a SIGINT Requirements Mechanism to interface with NSA as the Program Manager. The proposed concept for this mechanism is in its early stages of development. It considers DCID 1/2 as a general starting point to translate requirements into SIGINT terms. Discussion of this proposal has indicated that the general nature of DCID 1/2 creates difficulties in the translation process. In addition questions have been raised as to the applicability of DCID 1/2 to the HUMINT and Photographic requirements mechanism. Here again the overall question of collection by requirements highlights the need for a coordinated approach to all disciplines.
- 6. There is precedent within the USIB structure for organizing appropriate chairmen of pertinent USIB committees to address cross discipline programs. This precedent could serve as a basis for a Collection Coordination Committee (CCC) to address the applicability of all collection disciplines to an intelligence requirement. Such a committee could be composed of the Chairmen of the Human Sources Committee, SIGINT and COMIREX, and be chaired by the D/DCI/NIO. The function of this committee would be to review and determine which collection discipline is best suited for a particular task. It may be that all three, SIGINT, HUMINT, and Photography will be required. On the other hand it may prove that only one will do the job. This committee should not in of itself develop requirements and/or priorities, but should apply those developed by other committees to cross discipline tasks. That is to say SIGINT, COMIREX and Human Sources Committees should continue to develop requirements and priorities. The Coordinating Committee on the other hand would, for example, determine that a particular subject justifies higher priority for Photo than for S I GINT. This Committee could also support IRAC on cross-program matters.

$\label{eq:confidential} \begin{aligned} & \text{CONFIDENTIAL} \\ & \text{Approved For Release 2006/10/19: CIA-RDP79M00098A000300020033-1} \end{aligned}$

v and breadth o	f the overall	problem is s	30
ating members	hip" for spec	ific items,	not
	de facto mer	nbership on	
ida items. Thu	ıs Chairmen	of other Con	nmitte e s,
d the NIO's mi	ght sit on the	CCC for cer	rtain
•			
CIA. This powith the D/DCI/affiliation and toutside the Agence of the provides the with the clout n	tential stumb NIO Chairma the possibility ncy. e best availal	ling block m inship, the S y of an HSC ble balance l	ay be SIGINT between
o it right.	×		
larger committ ttees. We disc	ee with repre courage such	esentation fr an approach	om
	real danger of on Thus, some ating members add items. Thus do the NIO's minus problem with CIA. This powith the D/DCI/affiliation and to butside the Agence pt provides the with the clout not right. we considered larger committees. We disconsidered	treal danger of developing a real danger membership" for specific de facto membership de facto members	da items. Thus Chairmen of other Cond the NIO's might sit on the CCC for cereproblem with a Committee of Chairman CIA. This potential stumbling block movith the D/DCI/NIO Chairmanship, the Saffiliation and the possibility of an HSC outside the Agency. The provides the best available balance with the clout necessary to do this job and the possibility of the saffiliation.

Executive Secretary, USIB/IRAC

25X1

25X1